CSBG ANNUAL REPORT INSTRUCTION MANUAL

Module 3: CNPI Examples

Version I Release Date: April 24, 2018



Contents

Indicator Instructions, Examples, Definitions and Notes	3
CNPI 1: Employment Indicators	3
Employment Indicator Examples (Counts of Change)	4
Employment Indicator Examples (Rates of Change)	7
CNPI 2: Education and Cognitive Development Indicators	
Education and Cognitive Development Indicator Instructions (Counts of Change)	11
Education and Cognitive Development Indicator Instructions (Rates of Change)	16
CNPI 3: Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicators	25
Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicator Instructions (Counts of Change)	
Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicator Instructions (Rates of Change)	
CNPI 4: Housing Indicators	
Housing Indicator Instructions (Counts of Change)	41
Housing Indicator Instructions (Rates of Change)	
CNPI 5: Health and Social/Behavioral Indicators	
Health and Social/Behavioral Indicator Instructions (Counts of Change)	
Health and Social/Behavioral Indicator Instructions (Rates of Change)	
CNPI 6: Civic Engagement and Community Involvement Indicators	69
Civic Engagement and Community Involvement Indicator Instructions (Goal 2)	70
Civic Engagement and Community Involvement Indicator Instructions (Goal 3)	74

This publication was created by the National Association of State Community Services Programs in the performance of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Community Services, Grant Number 90ET0451. Any opinion, findings, and conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families.

Indicator Instructions, Examples, Definitions and Notes

The tables on the following pages outline instructions for reporting each indicator. Many of the instructions also include examples, definitions and notes. The following table includes links that may be selected to view indicators within a specific domain.

CNPI 1: Employment Indicators

CNPI1: En	CNPI1: Employment Indicators							
	<u>CNPI 1a</u>	Number of jobs created to increase opportunities for people with low incomes in the identified community.						
	<u>CNPI 1b</u>	Number of job opportunities maintained in the identified community.						
Counts of	<u>CNPI 1c</u>	Number of "living wage" jobs created in the identified community.						
Change	<u>CNPI 1d</u>	Number of "living wage" jobs maintained in the identified community.						
	<u>CNPI 1e</u>	Number of jobs created in the identified community with a benefit package.						
	<u>CNPI 1z</u>	Other Counts of Change Employment Indicators - Please specify.						
	<u>CNPI 1f</u>	Percent decrease of the unemployment rate.						
Rates of	<u>CNPI 1g</u>	Percent decrease of the youth unemployment rate.						
Change	<u>CNPI 1h</u>	Percent decrease of the underemployment rate.						
	<u>CNPI 1z</u>	Other Rates of Change Employment Indicators - Please specify.						

Go Back to Table of Contents

Employment Indicator Examples (Counts of Change) Examples, Definitions, and Notes

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto-calculated)					
<u>CNPI 1a:</u> Number of jobs created to increase opportunities for people with low incomes in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of jobs the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of jobs the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.					
Example	creating tax incentives t The initiative <i>target</i> v 	 A Community Action Agency (CAA) had a job-creation initiative that served their service area by creating tax incentives to encourage more small business to open in the community. The initiative <i>target</i> was 25 jobs created (Column II). The <i>actual number</i> of jobs created was 20 (Column III). 							
Definition, Notes	 Report only new jobs created as a direct result of the initiative. This includes <u>both</u> "living-wage" and "non-living-wage" jobs (newly created "living-wage" jobs can also be reported in CNPI 1c). Do not report jobs maintained by participants in employment programs (these will be reported in Module 4). 								

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)				
<u>CNPI 1b:</u> Number of job opportunities maintained in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	nopulated with initiative targeted to maintained in the identified community in the identified community.		This field will be auto- calculated.				
Example	within the community. IThe initiative <i>target</i> v	 The CAA job-creation initiative is in its second year. The first year, the initiative created 20 jobs within the community. In the second year, the expected outcome is to maintain the jobs created. The initiative <i>target</i> was 20 jobs maintained (Column II). The <i>actual number</i> of jobs maintained was 20 (Column III). 						
Definition, Notes	 Report only living-wage or non-living-wage jobs that were maintained as a direct result of the initiative. This includes <u>both</u> "living-wage" and "non-living-wage" jobs (living-wage jobs that were maintained can also be reported in CNPI 1d). Do not report jobs maintained by participants in employment programs (these will be reported in Module 4). CNPI 1a and 1b are not related to each other. CSBG Eligible Entities may report in one or both, based on the goals of their initiatives. 							

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
<u>CNPI 1c:</u> Number of "living-wage" jobs created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of "living-wage" jobs that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of "living-wage" jobs that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 A CAA partners with the city council and local business leaders to implement "conditional" tax incentives that encourage the creation of living-wage positions (this includes raising existing non-living-wage positions to living wage). The initiative had a target of creating 50 living-wage jobs during the reporting period (Column II). The actual number of living-wage jobs created was 65 (Column III). 						
Definition, Notes	 living-wage). CSBG Eligible Entities r section of this report. Module 3 Instruction r Report only living-wag 	 CSBG Eligible Entities must record the living-wage definition they are using in the General Comments section of this report. For more guidance on living-wage definitions, please see page 18 of the Module 3 Instruction Manual. Report only living-wage jobs that were created as a direct result of the initiative. Do not report jobs obtained by participants in employment programs (these will be reported in 					

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
<u>CNPI 1d:</u> Number of "living-wage" jobs maintained in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of "living-wage" jobs that the initiative targeted to maintain in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of "living-wage" jobs that the initiative actually maintained in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 A CAA partners with the city council and local business leaders to expand a tax credit program to include ongoing incentives for businesses that maintain living-wage positions. The initiative had a target of maintaining 100 living-wage jobs during the reporting period (Column II). The actual number of living-wage jobs maintained was 95 (Column III). 						
Definition, Notes	 CSBG Eligible Entities must record the living-wage definition they are using in the General Comments section of this report. For more guidance on living-wage definitions, please see page 18 of the Module 3 Instruction Manual. Report only living-wage jobs that were maintained as a direct result of the initiative. Do not report jobs maintained by participants in employment programs (these will be reported in Module 4). 						

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
<u>CNPI 1e:</u> Number of jobs created in the identified community with a benefit package.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of jobs with a benefits package that the initiative targeted to create in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of jobs with a benefits package that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 A CAA, county government, and other local business partners implemented a countywide employer tax credit for positions where an employee benefits package is offered. This included newly created positions, as well as existing positions where benefits packages were not previously offered. The initiative set a target of creating 50 jobs with benefits packages (Column II). The actual number of jobs with benefits packages created was 42 (Column III). 						
Definition, Notes	 This indicator includes jobs that already existed, but have since added benefits packages. Report only new jobs with benefits packages that were created as a direct result of the initiative. Do not report jobs obtained by participants in employment programs (these will be reported in Module 4). 						

	I.) Identified	II.) Target	III.) Actual Results	IV.) Performance target		
	Community	(#)	(#)	accuracy		
<u>CNPI 12:</u> Other Counts of Change for Employment Indicators. (Please specify.)	(auto-populated)			(% auto calculated)		
	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative targeted in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative actually achieved in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Definition, Notes	CSBG Eligible Entities shou doesn't fall into any of the	hould use CNPI 1z to report on employment-related initiatives where the outcom the above indicators, and the selected outcome is a "count of change".				

Employment Indicator Examples (Rates of Change) Examples, Definitions, and Notes

<u>CNPI 1f:</u> Percent decrease of the unemployment rate.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto-populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline unemployment rate.	Enter the target unemployment rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual unemployment rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto-calculated.		
Example	 To reduce the unemployment rate, the CAA operated a community-wide initiative where businesses, the city, and the county are engaged to placed unemployed people in jobs and created new jobs. The baseline unemployment rate was 5% at the start of the initiative. The target was to reduce the unemployment rate to 3%. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual unemployment rate was 4%. 								
Definition, Notes	 unemploym 1. <u>Bureau</u> 2. State D 3. <u>Commu</u> Unemploym baseline dat generated. The same dat to determin CSBG Eligible 	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) unemployment rates: <u>Bureau of Labor Statistics</u> State Department of Labor <u>Community Commons</u> Unemployment data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be 							

<u>CNPI 1g:</u> Percent decrease of the youth unemployment rate.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto-populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline youth unemployment rate.	Enter the target youth unemployment rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual youth unemployment rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	provide entry-lThe baselineThe target w	 In an effort to decrease the youth unemployment rate, a CAA actively partnered with local businesses to provide entry-level employment opportunities, including on-the-job training, to youth in the community. The baseline youth unemployment rate was 15% at the start of the initiative. The target was to reduce the youth unemployment rate to 10%. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual youth unemployment rate was 12%. 							
Definition, Notes	 unemploym <u>Bureau</u> State D State D For the purp Youth unem where basel generated. The same dat to determine 	ent rates: of Labor Statist epartment of La nity Commons oses of this rep ployment data ine data is not a ta source used e the actual rate e Entities should	ics abor ort, a youth initi should align with already tracked for to identify basel es at the end of e	ative targets indi the geographic or a specific com ine rates at the b each reporting pe	ne (Column II) ar ividuals aged 14- area targeted by munity, a custor peginning of an ir eriod. report Columns I	24. the initiative. Ir nized data set w nitiative should a	a situation ill need to be lso be used		

CNPI 1h: Percent decrease of the under- employment rate.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto-populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline under- employment rate.	Enter the target under- employment rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual underemploymen rate at the end o the current reporting period.	auto-	This field will be auto-calculated.		
Example	primary cause department, Ic manufacturing • The baseline • The target w	 A Community Needs Assessment pinpointed a significant local shift from manufacturing to technology as the primary cause for underemployment in the county. Therefore, the CAA worked with the county employment department, local leaders, and employers to actively address the skill gap for employees transitioning from manufacturing to technology positions. The baseline underemployment rate was 11% at the start of the initiative. The target was to reduce the underemployment rate to 10%. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual underemployment rate was 9.5%. 							
Definition, Notes	 underemplo <u>Bureau</u> State D <u>Commu</u> Underemplo or inadequa Underemplo where basel generated. The same da to determin CSBG Eligible 	 State Department of Labor <u>Community Commons</u> Underemployment is a situation where a worker is employed, but their work, hours or wages are reduced or inadequate. Underemployment data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be 							

CNPI 1z: Other Rates of Change	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
for Employment Indicators. (Please specify.)	se Enter th This will be auto- populated as a	percentage	Enter the selected target rate as a percentage here.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the selected actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Definition, Notes		CSBG Eligible Entities should use CNPI 1z to report on employment related initiatives where the outcome is different than any of the above indicators (and the selected outcome is a "rate of change").							

CNPI 2: Education and Cognitive Development Indicators

CNPI 2: E	ducation a	nd Cognitive Development Indicators
	<u>CNPI 2a</u>	Number of accessible and affordable early childhood or pre-school education assets or resource added to the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 2b</u>	Number of accredited or licensed affordable child care facilities added in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 2c</u>	Number of new Early Childhood Screenings offered to children (ages 0-5) of families with low incomes in the identified community.
Counts of Change	<u>CNPI 2d</u>	Number of accessible and affordable education assets or resources added for school age children in the identified community. (e.g., academic, enrichment activities, before/after school care, summer programs)
	<u>CNPI 2e</u>	Number of accessible and affordable post-secondary education assets or resources added for newly graduating youth in the identified community. (e.g. college tuition, scholarships, vocational training, etc.)
	<u>CNPI 2f</u>	Number of accessible and affordable basic or secondary education assets or resources added for adults in the identified community. (e.g. literacy, ESL, ABE/GED, etc.)
	<u>CNPI 2z</u>	Other Counts of Change Education and Cognitive Development Indicators
	<u>CNPI 2g</u>	Percent increase of children in the identified community who are kindergarten ready.
	<u>CNPI 2h</u>	Percent increase of children in the identified community at (or above) the basic reading level.
	<u>CNPI 2i</u>	Percent increase of children in the identified community at (or above) the basic math level.
	<u>CNPI 2j</u>	Percent increase in high school (or high school equivalency) graduation rate in the identified community.
Rates of	<u>CNPI 2k</u>	Percent increase of the rate of youth in the identified community who attend post- secondary education.
Change	<u>CNPI 21</u>	Percent increase of the rate of youth in the identified community who graduate from post- secondary education.
	<u>CNPI 2m</u>	Percent increase of adults in the identified community who attend post-secondary education.
	<u>CNPI 2n</u>	Percent increase of adults in the identified community who graduate from post-secondary education.
	<u>CNPI 20</u>	Percent increase in the adult literacy rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 2z</u>	Other Rates of Change for Education and Cognitive Development Indicators

Go Back to Table of Contents

Education and Cognitive Development Indicator Instructions (Counts of Change)

Examples, Definitions, and Notes

<u>CNPI 2a:</u> Number of	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
accessible and affordable early childhood or pre- school education assets or resources added to the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of accessible and affordable early childhood or preschool education assets/ resources that the initiative targeted for addition in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of accessible and affordable early childhood or preschool education assets/ resources that the initiative actually added in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 A CAA implemented a community-wide initiative to increase the number of early childhood centers that offer educational activities (via approved programs or curricula). The target was to add 30 new educational programs at child care centers across their identified community (Column II). The actual number of new educational programs adopted by child care centers in the identified community was 20 (Column III). 						
Definition, Notes	 An accessible and affordable early childhood or preschool education asset or resource is the creation of a new location for preschool education or the addition of educational activities to an already existing child care center that did not offer educational activities. Report only resources or assets that were added to the community as a direct result of the initiative. Do not report participation in early childhood or preschool education among program participants (these will be reported in Module 4). 						

	I.) Identified Community	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy				
<u>CNPI 2b:</u> Number of accredited or licensed affordable child care facilities added in the identified community.	(auto-populated)			(% auto calculated)				
	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of accredited or licensed child care facilities that the initiative targeted for addition in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of accredited or licensed child care facilities that the initiative actually added in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.				
Example	 A CAA, together with the local community college, implemented an initiative to help non-licensed day care providers navigate the licensure process (including financial incentives upon completion). The target was to add 15 newly licensed child care facilities in the county (Column II). The actual number of newly licensed childcare facilities added in the county was 13 (Column III). 							
Definition, Notes	 Accredited or licensed affordable child care facilities include new facilities added to the community that are accredited or licensed, or existing facilities that obtained accreditation or licensure. Report only new facilities that were added to the community as a direct result of the initiative. Do not report access to accredited child care facilities among program participants (these will be reported in Module 4). 							

CNPI 2c: Number of	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
new early childhood screenings offered to children (ages 0-5) of families with low- incomes in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of new early childhood screenings that the initiative targeted for addition in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of new early childhood screenings that the initiative actually added in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 A CAA worked with the local university's early childhood development program to make free screenings available to children in in the community whose families had low incomes. The initiative included outreach, free screenings, and follow-up educational resources. The target was to make 200 additional free early childhood screening appointments available to children in in the community whose families had low incomes (Column II). The actual number of additional early childhood screening appointments made available was 230 (Column III). 						
Definition, Notes	 Early childhood screenings gauge a child's readiness for kindergarten, and may include screenings for potential developmental delays. Report only new early childhood screenings that were added to the community as a direct result of the initiative. 						

<u>CNPI 2d:</u> Number of	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
accessible and affordable education assets or resources added for school age children in the identified community. (e.g., academic, enrichment activities, before/after school care, summer programs)	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of educational assets for school-aged children that the initiative targeted for addition in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of number of educational assets for school-aged children that the initiative actually added in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 A CAA advocated for the expansion of after-school recreational opportunities at the middle school serving a neighborhood with people who have low incomes in their service area. The target was to provide 200 additional slots for after-school activities (Column II). The actual number of additional slots for after-school activities was 204 (Column III). 						
Definition, Notes	 An accessible and affordable education asset or resource includes academic enrichment activities, summer programs, before/after school care, youth educational opportunities, or other asset or resource. Report only new assets or resources that were added to the community as a direct result of the initiative. Do not report individual or family access to school age education resources among program participants (this will be reported in Module 4). 						

<u>CNPI 2e:</u> Number of accessible and	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)				
affordable post- secondary education assets or resources added for newly graduating youth in the identified community. (e.g. college tuition, scholarships, vocational training, etc.)	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of post- secondary education assets or resources for graduating youth that the initiative targeted for addition in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of post- secondary education assets or resources for graduating youth that the initiative actually added in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.				
Example	 A CAA participated in a county-wide initiative with the goal of increasing post-secondary vocational training opportunities for newly graduated youth in the community who had low incomes. The target was to add 20 vocational training opportunities for newly graduated youth in the community. The actual number of vocational training opportunities added was 30. 							
Definition, Notes	 A post-secondary education asset or resource for newly graduated youth includes college tuition, scholarships, vocational training, etc. Report only new post-secondary assets or resources added to the community as a direct result of the initiative. Do not report access to post-secondary education resources among program participants (<i>this will be reported in Module 4</i>). 							

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
<u>CNPI 2f:</u> Number of accessible and affordable basic or secondary education assets or resources added for adults in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of basic or secondary education assets or resources for adults that the initiative targeted for addition in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of basic or secondary education assets or resources for adults that the initiative actually added in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 In partnership with the local school system, a CAA created evening courses for adults in English language proficiency taught by school system personnel in a CAA facility. The target was to create 150 new evening educational opportunities during the upcoming fall and spring. The actual number of educational opportunities added within the community was 180. 						
Definition, Notes	 Basic or secondary education assets or resources for adults include literacy, ELL, or ABE/GED courses. Report only new assets or resources that were added to the community as a direct result of the initiative. Do not report individual access to education resources among program participants (this will be reported in Module 4). 						

	I.) Identified Community	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target		
	(auto-populated)	(17)	(17)	accuracy (% auto calculated)		
<u>CNPI 2z:</u> Other Counts of Change for Education and Cognitive Development Indicators. (Please specify.)	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative targeted in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative actually achieved in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Definition, Notes	CSBG Eligible Entities should use CNPI 2z to report on education and cognitive development related initiatives where the outcome doesn't fall into any of the above indicators, and the selected outcome is a "count of change".					

Education and Cognitive Development Indicator Instructions (Rates of Change)

Examples, Definitions, and Notes

<u>CNPI 2g:</u> Percent increase of children in the identified community who are kindergarten ready.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline kindergarten readiness rate.	Enter the target kindergarten readiness rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual kindergarten readiness rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 A CAA worked with local school district officials to increase outreach and early learning program enrollment with the goal of increasing kindergarten readiness among households with people who have low incomes. The baseline kindergarten readiness rate was 75% at the start of the initiative. The target was to increase the kindergarten readiness rate to 77%. The actual kindergarten readiness rate increased to 76.5%. 							
Definition, Notes	 State Dep 2. Local Sch Kindergarte where base generated. The same d to determin 	partment of Edu iool District(s) en-readiness dat eline data is not a lata source used he the actual rational le Entities should	be used to detern cation a should align wi already tracked fo to identify basel es at the end of e d record the data	th the geographi or a specific com ine rates at the b each reporting po	ic area targeted l imunity, a custor peginning of an in eriod.	by the initiative. nized data set wi nitiative should a	In a situation ill need to be lso be used	

CNPI 2h: Increased percentage of children within the identified community who read at or above the basic reading level.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of children who read at or above the basic reading level.	Enter the target rate of children who read at or above the basic reading level.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of children who read at or above the basic reading level at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 A CAA partnered with the Department of Education and local school districts to provide summer learning opportunities for students with low income struggling with reading skills. The baseline rate of children who read at or above the basic reading level was 73% at the start of the initiative. The target was to increase the percentage of children who read at or above the basic reading level to 75%. The actual rate of children who read at or above the basic reading level increased to 74%. 							
Definition, Notes	 grade. The followi 1. State Dep 2. Local Sch Data should not already The same d to determini 	ng sources may partment of Edu ool District(s) d align with the g tracked for a sp lata source used he the actual rate le Entities should	be used to detern cation geographic area t ecific community to identify basel es at the end of e	mine both baseli argeted by the in y, a customized o ine rates at the b each reporting po	aged children kin ne (Column II) ar nitiative. In a situ data set will neec peginning of an ir eriod. report Columns I	nd actual (Colum lation where bas I to be generated nitiative should a	n V) rates: eline data is I. Iso be used	

CNPI 2i: Percent increase of children in the identified community at (or above) the basic math level.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of children who perform at or above the basic math level.	Enter the target rate of children who perform at or above the basic math level.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of children who perform at or above the basic math level at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 opportunities etc.) to reach The baselin initiative. The target of 	 A CAA partnered with their school district and local business leaders to provide supplemental math education opportunities for students with low incomes. This included hands-on activities (robotics, money management, etc.) to reach students with various learning styles. The baseline rate of children who performed at or above the basic math level was 65% at the start of the initiative. The target was to increase the rate of children who performed at or above the basic math level to 75%. The actual rate of children who performed at or above the basic math level increased to 70%. 							
Definition, Notes	 grade. The followi 1. State Dep 2. Local Sch Data should not already The same d to determini 	ng sources may b partment of Edu- iool District(s) d align with the g tracked for a sp lata source used he the actual rate le Entities should	be used to detern cation geographic area t ecific community to identify basel es at the end of e	mine both baseli argeted by the in y, a customized o ine rates at the b each reporting po	aged children kir ne (Column II) ar nitiative. In a situ lata set will need peginning of an ir eriod. report Columns I	nd actual (Colum nation where bas I to be generated nitiative should a	n V) rates: eline data is I. Iso be used		

<u>CNPI 2j:</u> Percent increase in high school (or high school equivalency) graduation rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline high school graduation (or equivalency) rate.	Enter the target high school graduation (or equivalency) rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual high school graduation (or equivalency) rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 A CAA partnered with their school district and local business leaders to provide alternative education opportunities within the community. This included technology, arts, and health-focused tracks in three local high schools. The baseline high school graduation (or equivalency) rate was 70% at the start of the initiative. The target was to increase the high school graduation (or equivalency) rate increased to 74%. 							
Definition, Notes	 State Dep 2. Local Sch Data should not already The same d to determin 	partment of Edu ool District(s) d align with the g tracked for a sp lata source used he the actual rate le Entities should	cation geographic area t ecific community to identify basel es at the end of e	argeted by the in y, a customized o ine rates at the b each reporting po	ne (Column II) ar nitiative. In a situ data set will need peginning of an in eriod. report Columns I	nation where bas I to be generated hitiative should a	eline data is d. Iso be used	

<u>CNPI 2k:</u> Percent increase of the rate of youth in the identified community who attend post- secondary education.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of youth who attend post- secondary education.	Enter the target rate of youth who attend post-secondary education.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of youth who attend post- secondary education at the end of the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	universities, a designed to in options, and t processes, etc • The baselin • The target	nd trade schools troduce high sch o provide them e rate of youth v was to increase t	to initiate a "Ne bool students wh with mentors to who attend post- the rate of youth	xt Steps" progra o have low incor help navigate pro- secondary educa who attend pos	well as nearby o m within all loca nes to a variety o e-requisites, app ation was 55% at t-secondary educ on increased to 5	I high schools. N of post-secondar lications, financi the start of the cation to 70%.	ext Steps is ry education al aid
Definition, Notes	 State Dep 2. State Em Data should not already The same d to determin 	partment of Edu ployment Depar d align with the g tracked for a sp lata source used he the actual rate le Entities should	cation tment geographic area t ecific community to identify basel es at the end of e	argeted by the in y, a customized c ine rates at the b each reporting pe	ne (Column II) ar nitiative. In a situ lata set will need peginning of an ir eriod. report Columns I	nation where bas I to be generated hitiative should a	seline data is d. also be used

<u>CNPI 21:</u> Percent increase of the rate of youth in the identified community who graduate from post-secondary education.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of youth who graduate from post-secondary education.	Enter the target rate of youth who graduate from post- secondary education.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of youth who graduate from post- secondary education at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	schools to imp incentives for • The baselin • The target	 A CAA partnered with local business leaders, as well as nearby community colleges, universities, and trade schools to implement an extension of the "Futures" system—providing mentorship, internships, and financial incentives for youth with low incomes throughout their post-secondary education experience. The baseline rate of youth graduating from post-secondary education was 40% at the start of the initiative. The target was to increase the rate of youth graduating from post-secondary education to 60%. The actual rate of youth graduating post-secondary education increased to 44%. 						
Definition, Notes	 The followi 1. State Dep 2. State Em Data should not already The same d to determine 	ng sources may l partment of Edu ployment Depar d align with the g tracked for a sp lata source used he the actual rate le Entities should	cation tment geographic area t ecific community to identify basel es at the end of e	mine both baseli argeted by the in ,, a customized c ine rates at the k each reporting pe	ne (Column II) ar nitiative. In a situ lata set will need peginning of an ir	ation where bas I to be generated hitiative should a	eline data is d. Iso be used	

<u>CNPI 2m:</u> Percent increase of adults in the identified	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
in the identified community who attend post- secondary education.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of adults who attend post- secondary education.	Enter the target rate of adults who attend post-secondary education.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of adults who attend post-secondary education at the end of the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	 A CAA partnered with a charitable foundation and local employers to increase opportunities for adults wanting to pursue post-secondary education. This included a 2:1 foundation match of employer contributions to education costs, and a tax incentive for employers who supported employees pursuing secondary education (through paid time off, tuition assistance, etc.). The baseline rate of adults who attended post-secondary education was 19% at the start of the initiative. The target was to increase the rate of adults who attend post-secondary education to 25%. The actual rate of adults who attended post-secondary education increased to 22%. 						
Definition, Notes	 For the purpose of this indicator, "adult" is defined as an individual aged 25 or over. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: State Department of Education State Employment Department Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. CSBG Eligible Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 						

<u>CNPI 2n:</u> Percent increase of adults	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
in the identified community who graduate from post-secondary education.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of adults who graduate from post-secondary education.	Enter the target rate of adults who graduate from post- secondary education.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of adults who graduate post-secondary education at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	student navig designed to m • The baselin • The target	 A CAA partnered with nearby community colleges, universities, and trade schools to implement an older-student navigation system. This included support services, financial assistance, mentoring, and internships designed to meet the unique needs of older students. The baseline rate of adults graduating from post-secondary education was 37% at the start of the initiative. The target was to increase the rate of adults graduating from post-secondary education to 42%. The actual rate of adults graduating from post-secondary education increased to 40%. 					
Definition, Notes	 The followi 1. State Dep 2. State Em Data should not already The same d to determine 	ng sources may l partment of Edu ployment Depar d align with the g tracked for a sp lata source used he the actual rational source should	be used to detern cation tment geographic area t ecific community to identify basel es at the end of e	mine both baseli argeted by the in y, a customized o ine rates at the b each reporting po	ividual aged 25 c ne (Column II) ar nitiative. In a situ data set will neec peginning of an ir eriod. report Columns I	nd actual (Colum nation where bas I to be generated nitiative should a	eline data is d. Ilso be used

<u>CNPI 20:</u> Percent increase in the adult literacy rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline adult literacy rate.	Enter the target adult literacy rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual adult literacy rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	adult literacy supporting en • The baselin • The target	 A CAA partnered with the city council and large local manufacturing and retail employers to implement an adult literacy program. The program was designed to provide employers with tax credits in exchange for supporting employees' basic education goals (e.g., paid time off, financial incentives). The baseline adult literacy rate was 59% at the start of the initiative. The target was to increase the adult literacy rate to 70% The actual adult literacy rate increased to 60%. 						
Definition, Notes	 The followi 1. State Em Data should not already The same d to determini CSBG Eligibility 	 For the purpose of this indicator, "adult" is defined as an individual aged 25 or over. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: State Employment Department Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. CSBG Eligible Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 						

CNPI 22: Other Rate of Change for Education and	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
Cognitive Development Indicators. (Please specify.)	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the selected baseline rate as a percentage here.	Enter the selected target rate as a percentage here.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the selected actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Definition, Notes			e CNPI 2z to repo indicators (and				come is

CNPI 3: In	frastructur	e and Asset Building Indicators
	<u>CNPI 3a</u>	Number of new accessible assets or resources created in the identified community:
	<u>CNPI 3a.1</u>	Commercial
	<u>CNPI 3a.2</u>	Financial
	<u>CNPI 3a.3</u>	Technological/ Communications (e.g. broadband)
	<u>CNPI 3a.4</u>	Transportation
	<u>CNPI 3a.5</u>	Recreational (e.g. parks, gardens, libraries)
	<u>CNPI 3a.6</u>	Other Public Assets/Physical Improvements
Counts of Change	<u>CNPI 3b</u>	Number of existing assets or resources made accessible to the identified community:
	<u>CNPI 3b.1</u>	Commercial
	<u>CNPI 3b.2</u>	Financial
	<u>CNPI 3b.3</u>	Technological/ Communications (e.g. broadband)
	<u>CNPI 3b.4</u>	Transportation
	<u>CNPI 3b.5</u>	Recreational (e.g. parks, gardens, libraries)
	<u>CNPI 3b.6</u>	Other Public Assets/Physical Improvements
	<u>CNPI 3z</u>	Other Counts of Change Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicators
	<u>CNPI 3c</u>	Percent decrease of abandoned or neglected buildings in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 3d</u>	Percent decrease in emergency response time measured in minutes in the identified community. (EMT, Police, Fire, etc.).
Rates of Change	<u>CNPI 3e</u>	Percent decrease of predatory lenders and/or lending practices in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 3f</u>	Percent decrease of environmental threats to households (toxic soil, radon, lead, air quality, quality of drinking water, etc.) in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 3g</u>	Percent increase of transportation services in the identified community.

CNPI 3: Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicators

CNPI 3: I	CNPI 3: Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicators					
	<u>CNPI 3z</u>	Other Rates of Change Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicators				

Go Back to Table of Contents

Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicator Instructions (Counts of Change)

Examples, Definitions, and Notes

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
<u>CNPI 3a:</u> Number of new accessible assets or resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the total number of assets or resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period. (Sum of CNPI 3a1-3a6, Column II)	Enter the total number of assets or resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period. (Sum of CNPI 3b1-3b6, Column III)	This field will be auto- calculated.
Definition, Notes	Column II should be the	NPI 3a. This is a section head sum of all targeted assets o e sum of all actual assets or r	r resources identified in CNP	

<u>CNPI 3a1:</u>	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
Number of new commercial assets or resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of commercial assets/ resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of commercial assets/ resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	 neighborhood where peop The initiative targeted tincomes live (Column II) 	ble with low incomes live. the creation of one new com). reated one new commercial (nal grocery store chain to loc mercial asset in a neighborho asset in a neighborhood whe	od where people with low
Definition, Notes			aurants, or other business er d to the community as a dire	

CNPI 3a2:	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
Number of new financial assets or resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of financial assets or resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of financial assets or resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	 increasing the availability loans, business loans, personance, business loans, personance, business loans, personance, business live (Column II) 	cal banking institution to loca of traditional financial service sonal savings accounts) in nei the creation of three new fina). reated two new financial asse	es (e.g. checking accounts, ho ghborhoods where people w ancial assets in neighborhood	ome mortgages, personal ith low incomes live. Is where people with low
Definition, Notes	banking services.	clude a banking institution, C or resources that were adde	, ,	,

CNPI 3a3: Number of new	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
technological or communications (e.g. broadband) assets or resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of technological or communications assets/ resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of technological or communications assets/ resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	funding to bring broadbar The initiative targeted t 	ity, county, state, business, a id access to their rural comm the creation of one new techn reated one new technology a	unity. nology asset within the comn	nunity (Column II).
Definition, Notes		unications asset may include or resources that were adde		

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
CNPI 3a4: Number of new transportation assets or resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of transportation assets or resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of transportation assets/ resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 A CAA, in partnership with the local transportation authority and other non-profits, advocated to have three additional bus lines added to reach neighborhoods in rural areas of the county where people with low incomes live. The initiative targeted creation of three new transportation assets within the community (Column II). The initiative actually created two new transportation assets within the community (Column III). 				
Definition, Notes	 A transportation asset may include bus lines or other forms of public transportation serving areas where residents with low incomes live or work. Report only new assets or resources that were added to the community as a direct result of the initiative. 				

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
CNPI 3a5: Number of new recreation (e.g. parks, gardens, libraries) assets or resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of recreation assets or resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of recreation assets or resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 A CAA worked alongside other organizations to renovate and convert an abandoned building into a community center. The initiative targeted the creation of one new recreation asset within the community (Column II). The initiative actually created one new recreation asset within the community (Column III). 					
Definition, Notes	 A recreational asset could be a park, community garden, library, community center, or other resource that increases the overall access to recreational activities within a community. Report only new assets or resources that were added to the community as a direct result of the initiative. 					

CNPI 3a6:	I.) Identified Community	II.) Target	III.) Actual Results	IV.) Performance target	
	(auto-populated)	(#)	(#)	accuracy	
Number of "other" new public assets or physical improvements created in the	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of "other" public assets or resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of "other" public assets or resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	(% auto calculated) This field will be auto- calculated.	
Definition,	 CSBG Eligible Entities should use this indicator to report "other" new assets or resources that don't fall into				
Notes	any of the categories above, and the selected outcome is a "count of change".				

<u>CNPI 3b:</u> Number of existing assets or resources made accessible in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the total number of existing assets or resources that the initiative made accessible in the identified community for the reporting period. (Sum of CNPI 3b1-3b6, Column II)	Enter the total number of existing assets or resources that the initiative actually made accessible in the identified community during the reporting period. (Sum of CNPI 3b1-3b6, Column III)	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Definition, Notes	 Column II should be the sum of all targeted assets or resources identified in CNPI 3b1-3b6 (Column II). Column III should be the sum of all actual assets or resources identified in CNPI 3b1-3b6 (Column III). 					

CNPI 3b1:	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
Number of existing commercial assets or resources made accessible in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of existing commercial assets/ resources that the initiative targeted to make accessible in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of existing commercial assets or resources that the initiative actually made accessible in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	A grocery store exists in a rural community. However, the CAA community needs assessment revealed that people couldn't easily access the store because it was only open between 10 a.m. and 7 p.m., when many people in the community were still at work. The CAA advocated alongside other nonprofits for the store to extend its hours, making it more accessible to people in the community with low incomes. • The initiative targeted one commercial asset to make more accessible (Column II). • The initiative actually made one commercial asset more accessible (Column III).				
Definition, Notes	 A commercial asset may include grocery stores, restaurants, or other business enterprises. Report only existing assets or resources that were made more accessible as a direct result of the initiative. 				

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
CNPI 3b2: Number of existing financial assets or resources made accessible in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of existing financial assets or resources that the initiative targeted to make accessible in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of existing financial assets or resources that the initiative actually made accessible in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 A CAA learned, through their community needs assessment, that all the small lenders in their community were predatory. The CAA partnered with local nonprofits and encouraged a local bank to begin offering loans under \$5,000. The initiative targeted one financial resource to make more accessible (Column II). The initiative actually made one financial resource more accessible (Column III). 				
Definition, Notes	 A financial asset may include creation of a banking institution such as a Community Development Financial Institution, or additional banking services made accessible to the community. Report only existing assets or resources that were made more accessible as a direct result of the initiative. 				

CNPI 3b3: Number of	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
existing technological or communications (e.g. broadband) assets or resources made accessible in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of existing technological or communications assets/ resources that the initiative targeted to make accessible in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of existing technological or communications assets/ resources that the initiative actually made accessible in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	A CAA learned that students who live in the rural parts of their county do not have access to the internet when they go home, and as a result, cannot complete many of their school assignments. The CAA partnered with the city and secured funding from a foundation to run new high-speed internet lines to the rural areas of their county. The initiative targeted one technological resource to make more accessible (Column II). The initiative actually made one technological resource more accessible (Column III).					
Definition, Notes	 A technological/ communications asset may include broadband cable access or additional cell towers. Report only existing assets or resources that were made more accessible as a direct result of the initiative. 					

CNPI 3b4:	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
Number of existing transportation assets or resources made accessible in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of existing transportation assets or resources that the initiative targeted to make accessible in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of existing transportation assets/ resources that the initiative actually made accessible in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 A community has a bus system, but the bus only operates between 9 a.m. and 9 p.m., Monday through Saturday, making it inaccessible for most people who work in the service industry. To better accommodate workers, a CAA banded with a large coalition to raise the funds needed to extend bus schedules from 7 a.m. to 10 p.m., seven days per week. The initiative targeted one transportation resource to make more accessible (Column II). The initiative actually made one transportation resource more accessible (Column III). 				
Definition, Notes	 A transportation asset may include buses, bus lines, or other forms of public transportation serving areas where low-income residents live or work. Report only existing assets or resources that were made more accessible as a direct result of the initiative. 				

CNPI 3b5: Number of	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
existing recreation (e.g. parks, garden, libraries) assets or resources made accessible in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of existing recreation assets or resources that the initiative targeted to make accessible in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of existing recreation assets or resources that the initiative actually made accessible in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 An old park sat unattended for years in a community. A Community Needs Assessment highlighted that the community lacked green spaces. The CAA worked with local businesses and nonprofits to rehabilitate the park to include a community garden and playground. The initiative targeted one recreation resource to make more accessible (Column II). The initiative actually made one recreation resource more accessible (Column III). 				
Definition, Notes	 A recreational asset could be a park, garden, library, community center, or other resource that increases the overall access to recreational activities within a community. Report only existing assets or resources that were made more accessible as a direct result of the initiative. 				

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target			
CNPI 3b6:	(auto-populateu)	(#)	(#)	accuracy (% auto calculated)			
Number of "other" existing public assets or physical improvements made accessible in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of "other" existing public assets or resources that the initiative targeted to make accessible in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of "other" existing public assets or resources that the initiative actually made accessible in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Definition, Notes	 CSBG Eligible Entities should use this indicator to report "other" existing assets or resources made more accessible that don't fall into any of the categories above, and the selected outcome is a "count of change". 						

	I.) Identified	II.) Target	III.) Actual Results	IV.) Performance target		
	Community	(#)	(#)	accuracy		
	(auto-populated)			(% auto calculated)		
CNPI 32: Other Counts of Change for Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicators. (Please specify.)	I 3z: Other its of Change hfrastructure Asset ling ators.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative targeted in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative actually achieved in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Definition, Notes	CSBG Eligible Entities should use CNPI 3z to report on infrastructure or asset building initiatives where the outcome is different than any of the above indicators, and the selected outcome is a "count of change".					

Infrastructure and Asset Building Indicator Instructions (Rates of Change)

Examples, Definitions, and Notes

<u>CNPI 3c:</u> Percent decrease of abandoned or neglected buildings in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performanc e target accuracy (% auto calculated)
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of neglected or abandoned buildings.	Enter the target rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of neglected or abandoned buildings at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	 A CAA worked with city council and the local housing trust to rehabilitate or sell abandoned and neglected buildings, particularly in neighborhoods where people with low incomes live. Out of the 200 buildings in the city, 25 are considered by the county assessor to be abandoned or neglected. The baseline rate of abandoned/neglected buildings was 12.5% at the start of the initiative (=25/200) The target was to decrease rate of abandoned or neglected buildings to 7% The actual rate of abandoned/neglected buildings decreased to 10% (=20/200). 						
Definition, Notes	 This indicator does not include abandoned, neglected, or vacant single-family homes. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: U.S. Census Bureau Community Commons County Assessor's Office Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. CSBG Eligible Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 						

<u>CNPI 3d:</u> Percent decrease in emergency response time measured in minutes in the identified community. (EMT, Police, Fire, etc.).	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)				
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline response time rate.	Enter the target response time rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual response time rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.				
Example	 The Community Needs Assessment highlighted concerns about local emergency response times. Upon further research, the CAA found that county EMS personnel are failing to meet their target response time of 8 minutes on 38% of their calls. The CAA worked with first responders and the city council to decrease emergency response times through creating satellite offices in communities for first responders. The baseline rate was 38% (<i>percentage of emergency calls in the county that take longer than the targeted 8-minute response time</i>). The target was to reduce the percentage of emergency calls in the county that take longer than the targeted 8-minute response time to 20%. The actual percentage of emergency calls in the county that take longer than the targeted 8-minute response time to 32%. 										
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Local Police and Fire Departments State Emergency Response Offices National Fire Protection Association Response time data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. CSBG Eligible Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 										

<u>CNPI 3e:</u> Percent decrease of predatory lenders and/or lending practices in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)				
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of predatory lenders.	Enter the target rate of predatory lenders.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of predatory lenders at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.				
Example	 The most recent Community Needs Assessment revealed a significant concern over payday lenders. Upon further research, the CAA found that out of 20 total lenders in their community, 10 of these were payday loan offices. The CAA worked with business leaders and the city council to decrease the number of predatory lenders in their community. The baseline rate of predatory lenders was 50% at the start of the initiative (10 payday lenders/20 total lenders = 50%) The target was to decrease rate of predatory lenders to 20% The actual rate of predatory lenders decreased to 32% (8 payday lenders/25 total lenders = 32%) 										
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: State regulating body for banks, consumer credit and payday lenders To create a baseline rate for predatory lenders, divide the number of predatory lenders by the total number of lenders in the community. Predatory lending data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. CSBG Eligible Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 										

<u>CNPI 3f:</u> Percent decrease of environmental threats to households (toxic	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
soil, radon, lead, air quality, quality of drinking water, etc.) in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate.	Enter the target rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	numerous cor of multiple ma level of unsafe people with lo to improve ou replacement p • The baselin exceeded N • The target	 During a Community Needs Assessment, local residents voiced concerns over poor air quality (including numerous complaints of respiratory issues). Upon further research, the CAA discovered that the combination of multiple manufacturing and technology plants, combined with an active airport, had resulted in increasing level of unsafe particulate matter in the air (PM_{2.5)}— across the city, particularly in neighborhoods where people with low incomes live. The CAA, together with multiple partners in the community, began an initiative to improve outdoor air quality using a combination of policy change, conditional tax credits, woodstove replacement program, and community education. The baseline rate was 10% (percentage of monitored days during which the measured PM_{2.5} concentration exceeded National Ambient Air Quality Standards). The target was to decrease the rate to 5% The actual rate decreased to 8.5% 						
Definition, Notes	 Environmental threats to household may include toxic soil, radon, lead, air quality, and unsafe drinking water. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Weatherization Plus Health State/Federal Environmental Protection Agency State Department of Environmental Quality CDC Environmental Health Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. CSBG Eligible Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 							

<u>CNPI 3g:</u> Percent increase of transportation	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
services in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate.	Enter the target rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	found that on home. Workir increase acces incomes). • The baselin the start of • The initiativ home.	 The baseline rate of households with access to transit services within a half mile of their home was 34% at the start of the initiative. The initiative set a target of 50% of households with access to transit services within a half mile of their home. The actual rate of households with access to transit services within a half mile of their home increased to 						
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: All Transit Sustainable Communities Initiative (HUD, DOT, EPA Partnership) Federal Department of Transportation State Department of Transportation Local Public Transit Companies Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. CSBG Eligible Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 							

<u>CNPI 3z:</u> Other Rates of Change for Infrastructure	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
and Asset Building Indicators. (Please specify.)	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the selected baseline rate as a percentage here.	Enter the selected target rate as a percentage here.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the selected actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Definition, Notes		CSBG Eligible Entities should use CNPI 3z to report on infrastructure and asset related initiatives where the butcome is different than any of the above indicators, and the selected outcome is a "rate of change".					

CNPI 4: Housing Indicators

CNPI 4: H	ousing	
	<u>CNPI 4a</u>	Number of safe and affordable housing units developed in the identified community (e.g. built or set aside units for people with low incomes).
	<u>CNPI 4b</u>	Number of safe and affordable housing units maintained and/or improved through WAP or other rehabilitation efforts in the identified community.
Counts of Change	<u>CNPI 4c</u>	Number of shelter beds created in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 4d</u>	Number of shelter beds maintained in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 4z</u>	Other Counts of Change Housing Indicators
	<u>CNPI 4e</u>	Percent decrease in the rate of homelessness in the identified community
	<u>CNPI 4f</u>	Percent decrease in the foreclosure rate in the identified community.
Rates of	<u>CNPI 4g</u>	Percent increase in the rate of home ownership of people with low incomes in the identified community.
Change	<u>CNPI 4h</u>	Percent increase of affordable housing in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 4i</u>	Percent increase of shelter beds in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 4z</u>	Other Rates of Change Housing Indicators

Go Back to Table of Contents

Housing Indicator Instructions (Counts of Change) Examples, Definitions, and Notes

CNPI 4a:	I.) Identified	II.) Target	III.) Actual Results	IV.) Performance target
Number of safe	Community	(#)	(#)	accuracy
and affordable	(auto-populated)			(% auto calculated)
housing units developed in the identified community. (e.g. built or set aside units for people with low incomes).	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of safe and affordable housing units that the initiative targeted for development in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of safe and affordable housing units that the initiative actually developed in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	 built a senior living center The target of the initia community during the 	nip with the local housing au er where aging individuals w ntive was to create 30 new s reporting period. developed 40 new safe and	vith low incomes can live. afe and affordable housing	units within the
Definition, Notes	 people with low-incon Safe and affordable h "safe" and "affordable definitions/criteria use Report only new units 	those new housing units w nes. ousing is to be defined by tl " should take into consider ed by local, state, and federa that were developed within al access to housing among	he CSBG Eligible Entity. Crit ation community character al partners. n the community as a direct	eria for what constitutes istics and t result of the initiative.

CNPI 4b:	I.) Identified Community	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy
Number of safe	(auto-populated)			(% auto calculated)
and affordable housing units maintained and/or improved through WAP or other rehabilitation efforts in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
	The CAA planned to main other leveraged resource		g housing units using Weath	erization funding and
Example	The initiative target w period (Column II).		70 existing units with WAP o	during the reporting
	• The initiative actually	weatherized 05 existing un		
Definition, Notes	"affordable" should ta by local, state, and fec	ke into consideration comm leral partners.	he CAA. Criteria for what con nunity characteristics and d improved as a direct result of	efinitions/criteria used

CNPI 4c: Number	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
of shelter beds created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of shelter beds that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of shelter beds that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	the community. The CAA vectorexpand the existing familyThe target of the initiat	worked with their city, the sc		ofit organizations to
Definition, Notes	Report only those new	shelter beds that are added t	to a community as a direct re	sult of the initiative.

	I.) Identified Community	II.) Target	III.) Actual Results	IV.) Performance target
	(auto-populated)	(#)	(#)	accuracy
CNPI 4d: Number				(% auto calculated)
of shelter beds maintained in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 an expansion project. This staff and resources necess The initiative target was 	year, worked to maintain th ary to support the expansion	lter beds created in the previ	nunity by providing the
Definition, Notes	Report only those shelt	er beds that are maintained a	as a direct result of the initiat	ive.

CNPI 4z: Other	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
Counts of Change for Housing Indicators. (Please specify.)	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative targeted in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative actually achieved in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Definition, Notes		CSBG Eligible Entities should use CNPI 4z to report on housing related initiatives where the outcome is diff than any of the above indicators, and the selected outcome is a "count of change".					

Housing Indicator Instructions (Rates of Change)

<u>CNPI 4e:</u> Percent decrease in the rate of	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
homelessness in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of homelessness.	Enter the target rate of homelessness.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of homelessness at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	the city, coun Year Plan to E • The baselin • The initiativ	 A Community Needs Assessment identified a steady increase in the homeless population. A CAA worked with the city, county, other nonprofits, and businesses to develop a Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness. The Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness Committee's goal was to cut the homelessness rate percentage in half. The baseline rate of homelessness within the community was 1.1% at the beginning of the initiative. The initiative set a target of bringing the rate of homelessness down to .5% The actual rate of homelessness at the end of the current reporting period was decreased to .8% 							
Definition, Notes	 shelter propeople from nights + un. The followi 1. State ho 2. Local ho 3. Continu 4. Local sh 5. Commu Homelessni baseline da generated. The same di determine formation of the same di determine of the same di determine	viders in the com n the Point in Tir sheltered people ng sources may l ousing departme ousing authority oum of Care selters unity Commons ess data should a ta is not already ata source used the actual rates a le Entities should	amunity during the count, and the count of the	ne Point in Time en divide by the <i>ple in the commu</i> mine both baseli ographic area tar ecific community ine rates at the b h reporting perio	rgeted by the init , a customized d	o the number of people in the co nd actual (Colum iative. In a situa ata set will need nitiative should a	unsheltered mmunity <i>[(bed</i> n V) rates: tion where to be lso be used to		

<u>CNPI 4f:</u> Percent decrease in the foreclosure rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline foreclosure rate.	Enter the target foreclosure rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual foreclosure rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	to foreclosure funding for a p pronged appro provided advo • The baselin • The initiativ	 Through their needs assessment, a CAA learned that home owners in the community were losing their homes to foreclosure. The CAA worked with their local housing authority, lenders, and local employers to secure funding for a program that provides education and outreach to struggling home owners. This included a multipronged approach that covered review of financing options, increased awareness of borrower's rights, and provided advocacy with financial institutions. The baseline foreclosure rate within the community was 6.2% at the beginning of the initiative. The initiative targeted to bring the foreclosure rate down to 5% The actual foreclosure rate decreased to 5.1% 						
Definition, Notes	 State Ho <u>Commu</u> <u>Realty T</u> Foreclosure baseline da generated. The same d determine to cSBG Eligib 	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: State Housing Department <u>Community Commons</u> <u>Realty Trac</u> Foreclosure data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. CSBG Eligible Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 						

<u>CNPI 4g:</u> Percent increase in the rate of home ownership of people with low incomes in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline home ownership rate.	Enter the target home ownership rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual home ownership rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 Through their community needs assessment, a CAA learned that the home ownership rate among individuals with low incomes was declining. They also learned that these individuals had limited access to home loans. The CAA worked with others in the community to launch a home-ownership initiative that created new lending packages that made it easier for individuals with low incomes to qualify for a home loan. The baseline home ownership rate within the community was 69.2% at the beginning of the initiative. The initiative targeted to increase the home ownership rate to 73% The actual home ownership rate increased to 72.1% 							
Definition, Notes	 U.S. Cer Local He <u>Commu</u> Home-own- baseline da generated. The same d determine to 	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: U.S. Census Bureau Local Housing Authority Community Commons Home-ownership data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 						

<u>CNPI 4h:</u> Percent increase of affordable housing in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline affordable housing rate.	Enter the target affordable housing rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual affordable housing rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 Through the CAA's Community Needs Assessment, they learned that only 20% of the housing in their community was considered affordable. The CAA, together with local leaders, began an initiative to double the rate of affordable housing through tax incentives and competitive financing packages. The baseline rate of affordable housing within the community was 20% at the beginning of the initiative. The initiative targeted to bring the rate of affordable housing available within the community up to 40%. The actual rate of affordable housing within the community increased to 23% 							
Definition, Notes	 Affordable housing is to be defined by the CAA. Criteria for what constitutes "affordable" should take into consideration community characteristics and definitions/criteria used by partners. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Local Housing Authority State Housing Agency Community Commons Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 							

<u>CNPI 4i:</u> Percent increase of shelter beds in the	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate.	Enter the target rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Example	 Social service providers and faith-based organizations voiced growing concern that rate of shelter beds in the community was sorely inadequate. This was confirmed during the annual Point in Time Count. The CAA worked with local faith-based organizations to create an interfaith emergency shelter initiative that involved opening up large church spaces for shelter in the evenings and on weekends. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of shelter beds was 33% (400 beds available/1200 homeless individuals=.33) The initiative target was to increase this percentage to 45% The actual rate shelter beds available increased to 50% (600 beds available/1200 homeless individuals=.5). 						
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: State Housing Department Local Housing Authority Continuum of Care Local Shelters Community Commons Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 						

<u>CNPI 4z:</u> Other Rates of Change for Housing Indicators (Please specify.)	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the selected baseline rate as a percentage here.	Enter the selected target rate as a percentage here.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the selected actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Definition, Notes		Entities should use CNPI 4z to report on housing related initiatives where the outcome is different than any of the above indicators, and the selected outcome is a "rate of change".						

CNPI 5: H	ealth and S	Social/Behavioral Indicators
	<u>CNPI 5a</u>	Number of accessible and affordable physical health assets or resources created in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5b</u>	Number of accessible and affordable behavioral and mental health assets or resources created in the identified community.
Counts of	<u>CNPI 5c</u>	Number of public safety assets and resources created in the identified community.
Change	<u>CNPI 5d</u>	Number of accessible and affordable healthy food resources created in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5e</u>	Number of activities designed to improve police and community relations within the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5z</u>	Other Counts of Change Health and Social/Behavioral Indicators
	<u>CNPI 5f</u>	Percent decrease in infant mortality rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5g</u>	Percent decrease in childhood obesity rate in the identified community.
Rates of Change	<u>CNPI 5h</u>	Percent decrease in adult obesity rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5i</u>	Percent increase in child immunization rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5j</u>	Percent decrease in uninsured families in the identified community
Behavioral	and Mental	Health, Emotional Wellbeing, and Development Indicators
	<u>CNPI 5k</u>	Percent decrease in the teen pregnancy rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 51</u>	Percent decrease in unplanned pregnancies in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5m</u>	Percent decrease in substance abuse rate in the identified community (e.g. cigarettes, prescription drugs, narcotics, alcohol).
Rates of	<u>CNPI 5n</u>	Percent decrease in domestic violence rate in the identified community.
Change	<u>CNPI 50</u>	Percent decrease in the child abuse rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5p</u>	Percent decrease in the child neglect rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5q</u>	Percent decrease in the elder abuse rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5r</u>	Percent decrease in the elder neglect rate in the identified community.

CNPI 5: Health and Social/Behavioral Indicators

Public Safet	y Indicators	
	<u>CNPI 5s</u>	Percent decrease in recidivism rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5t</u>	Percent decrease in non-violent crime rate in the identified community.
Rates of Change	<u>CNPI 5u</u>	Percent decrease in violent crime rate in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5v</u>	Percent decrease in teens involved with the juvenile court system in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 5z</u>	Other Rates of Change Health and Social/Behavioral Indicators

Go Back to Table of Contents

Health and Social/Behavioral Indicator Instructions (Counts of Change)

Examples, Definitions, and Notes

CNPI 5a: Number	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
of accessible and affordable physical health assets or resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of accessible and affordable physical health assets/ resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of accessible and affordable physical health assets/ resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 A community identified that there was no fitness center. A CAA, in collaboration with other local partners, identified a school that was no longer being used, and remodeled the school to create an accessible community fitness center. The target of the initiative was to create one new physical health asset within the community (Column II). The initiative actually created one new physical health asset within the community (Column III). 					
Definition, Notes	 A physical health asset may include a community health clinic, a dental van, or physical fitness facility. Report only those physical health assets or resources that were created as a direct result of the initiative. 					

CNPI 5b: Number	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
of accessible and affordable behavioral and mental health assets or resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of affordable behavioral and mental health assets or resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of affordable behavioral and mental health assets or resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	A community learned that the police officers who act as first responders to mental health crises were not trained to address these issues. They further identified the need for first responders who were trained to handle mental health crises. The community developed a Community Crisis Team made up of volunteer social workers who could be called on to work alongside or instead of the police during a mental health crisis in the community. The initiative targeted an addition of 10 volunteer social workers to the Community Crisis Team. • The initiative target was to recruit 10 social workers to be part of a Community Crisis Team (Column II). • The initiative actually added 15 social workers to the Community Crisis Team (Column III).					
Definition, Notes	practitioners, or other rReport only those physi	resources that provide the co ical health assets or resource	I health asset may include cl mmunity with mental/behav s that were created as a direc sed behavioral/mental health	ioral health services. ct result of the initiative.		

CNPI 5c: Number	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
of public safety assets or resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of public safety assets or resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of public safety assets or resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 A community learned that there was an increase in driving fatalities caused by texting and driving. The community implemented a community-wide campaign, "Do Not Text and Drive," to reduce the number of fatalities. This included targeted outreach, education, and enforcement. The initiative targeted the creation of one education/outreach campaign within the community (Column II). The initiative actually created one education/outreach campaign within the community (Column III). 					
Definition, Notes	 A public safety asset includes anything that protects the general welfare of all community residents. Report only those public safety assets or resources that were created as a direct result of the initiative. 					

	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
<u>CNPI 5d:</u> Number of accessible and affordable healthy food resources created in the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of accessible and affordable healthy food resources that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number accessible and affordable healthy food resources that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 A CAA learned that there was no grocery store within five miles of an identified community. Local residents also reported that none of the nearby corner stores and filling stations offered fresh or healthy food options. The CAA, together with local retailers and farmers, launched a Healthy Corner Store initiative to make healthy food available and accessible to the community. The initiative set a goal of bringing healthy food to three corner stores. The initiative targeted the addition of three new affordable, healthy food resources within the community (Column II). The initiative actually created five new affordable, healthy food resources within the community (Column III). 						
Definition, Notes	accessible and/or afford	dable within a community.	includes any resource that m	·			

CNPI 5e: Number	I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
of activities designed to improve police and community relations within the identified community.	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the number of activities designed to improve police and community relations that the initiative targeted for creation in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the number of activities designed to improve police and community relations that the initiative actually created in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	pockets of the community partners, launched a camp on how the police help the mediation, and provided f • The initiative targeted t II).	8					
Definition, Notes	 An activity designed to improve police and community relations includes campaigns, forums, outreach, or any other activity that improves relationships between police and community members. Report only those activity designed to improve police and community relations that were created as a direct result of the initiative. 						

	I.) Identified Community	II.) Target	III.) Actual Results	IV.) Performance target		
CNPI 5z: Other	(auto-populated)	(#)	(#)	accuracy (% auto calculated)		
Counts of Change for Health and Social/Behavioral Indicators. (Please specify)	This field will be auto- populated with information from Section I.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative targeted in the identified community for the reporting period.	Enter the numeric outcome the initiative actually achieved in the identified community during the reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Definition, Notes		Entities should use CNPI 5z to report on Health and Social/Behavioral related initiatives where the outcome i different than any of the above indicators, and the selected outcome is a "count of change".				

Health and Social/Behavioral Indicator Instructions (*Rates of Change*)

Examples, Definitions, and Notes

CNPI 5f: Percent decrease in infant mortality rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline infant mortality rate.	Enter the target infant mortality rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual infant mortality rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Example	 A community faced an increasing infant mortality rate. Upon further research, they learned that the most frequent cause of death among infants in their community was Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS). To address this issue, the community launched a "baby box" program where all new mothers were sent home with a free box for their baby to sleep in, and educational materials on SIDS. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline infant mortality rate was 2.7% The initiative's target was to bring the infant mortality rate down to .5% The actual infant mortality rate decreased to 2.3% 							
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: State Health Department U.S. Census Bureau <u>Community Commons</u> Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 							

<u>CNPI 5g:</u> Percent decrease in childhood obesity rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline child obesity rate.	Enter the target child obesity rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual child obesity rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	community w obesity rate o • At the begi • The initiativ	 A community identified that over 50% of their youth (under 18) were obese. To combat childhood obesity, the community worked in partnership with their local university to launch a Let's Move campaign to reduce the obesity rate over the next five years. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline child obesity rate was 51% The initiative's target was to bring the child obesity rate down to 20% At the end of the current reporting period, the actual child obesity rate decreased to 45% 								
Definition, Notes	 State H <u>U.S Center</u> <u>Center</u> Data should not already The same d determine 	ealth Departmer sus Bureau for Disease Cont d align with the g tracked for a sp lata source used the actual rates a		argeted by the in 1, a customized c ine rates at the b h reporting perio	nitiative. In a situ lata set will need peginning of an ir od.	ation where bas I to be generated hitiative should a	eline data is d. Ilso be used to			

<u>CNPI 5h:</u> Percent decrease in adult	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
obesity rate in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline adult obesity rate.	Enter the target adult obesity rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual adult obesity rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	decrease the campaign. The • At the begin • The initiative	 A community identified that the percentage of obese adults far exceeded the state and national average. To decrease the obesity rate in adults, the community implemented a Healthy Eating Active Living (HEAL) campaign. The campaign's goal was to reduce the adult obesity rate over the next five years. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline adult obesity rate was 34% The initiative target was to bring the adult obesity rate down to 20% At the end of the current reporting period, the actual adult obesity rate decreased to 29% 								
Definition, Notes	 State He U.S. Cert Center 1 <u>Commu</u> Data should not already The same d determine 	ealth Departmen sus Bureau for Disease Cont <u>nity Commons</u> d align with the g tracked for a sp ata source used the actual rates a	nt rol geographic area t ecific community to identify basel at the end of eac	argeted by the in , a customized c ine rates at the k h reporting perio	ne (Column II) ar hitiative. In a situ lata set will need beginning of an ir od. ns II and V in the	nation where bas I to be generated nitiative should a	eline data is I. Iso be used to			

<u>CNPI 5i:</u> Percent increase in child immunization rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline child immunization rate.	Enter the target child immunization rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual child immunization rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	increase imm provided info populations w • At the begi • The initiativ	 A community identified that their childhood immunization rate was low compared to the state average. To increase immunizations among young children, the community launched a public awareness campaign that provided information to the public on the importance of immunizations, and conducted outreach to targeted populations where child immunization rates were disproportionately low (e.g., uninsured households). At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline childhood immunization rate was 73% The initiative target was to bring the childhood immunization rate up to 85% The actual childhood immunization rate increased to 75% 							
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: State Health Department Center for Disease Control Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 								

<u>CNPI 5j:</u> Percent decrease in uninsured families in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of uninsured families.	Enter the target rate of uninsured families.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of uninsured families at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 A Community Needs Assessment revealed a disproportionately large number of uninsured families in a particular community. The CAA joined forces with other local organizations (including health providers) to launch an education and advocacy initiative addressing the expansion of Medicaid. Through this initiative, the community sought to decrease the number of uninsured families over the next three years. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of uninsured families was 22% The initiative's target was to bring rate of uninsured families down to 10% At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of uninsured families decreased to 15% 								
Definition, Notes	 For the purpose of this indicator, "families" includes households with or without children. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: State Health Department Kaiser Family Foundation Center for Disease Control <u>Community Commons</u> Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 								

<u>CNPI 5k:</u> Percent decrease in the teen pregnancy	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
rate in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline teen pregnancy rate.	Enter the target teen pregnancy rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual teen pregnancy rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	education and teen pregnan department a reducing the t • At the begi • The initiativ	 A community faced a high teen pregnancy rate. Efforts in similar communities revealed that providing free education and contraception services, particularly targeted to those populations with disproportionately high teen pregnancy rates, was an effective approach. Therefore, the CAA, in partnership with the local health department and school districts, implemented an education and contraceptive initiative with the goal of reducing the teen pregnancy rate by half. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline teen pregnancy rate was 7% The initiative target was to bring the teen pregnancy rate down to 3.5% The actual teen pregnancy rate decreased to 4.5% 							
Definition, Notes	 State H Center Commu Data should not already The same d determine for the same determine determine for the same dete	ealth Departmer for Disease Cont inity Commons d align with the g tracked for a sp ata source used the actual rates a		argeted by the in 1, a customized c ine rates at the b h reporting perio	nitiative. In a situ lata set will need reginning of an ir d.	ation where bas to be generated itiative should a	eline data is I. Iso be used to		

<u>CNPI 51:</u> Percent decrease in unplanned pregnancies in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline unplanned pregnancy rate.	Enter the target unplanned pregnancy rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual unplanned pregnancy rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	county, in par and contrace • At the begi • The initiativ	 A community learned that two-thirds of the pregnancies that occurred in their county were unplanned. The county, in partnership with the CAA and other nonprofit organizations, began to offer education, outreach, and contraceptive services to reduce the rate of unplanned pregnancies. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline unplanned pregnancy rate was 7% The initiative target was to bring the unplanned pregnancy rate down to 3.5% The actual unplanned pregnancy rate decreased to 5.5% 							
Definition, Notes	 Center State D Data should not already The same d determine to 	for Disease Cont epartment of He d align with the g tracked for a sp ata source used the actual rates a		argeted by the ir ,, a customized c ine rates at the b h reporting perio	nitiative. In a situ lata set will need peginning of an ir od.	ation where bas I to be generated hitiative should a	eline data is I. Iso be used to		

<u>CNPI 5m:</u> Percent decrease in substance abuse rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline substance abuse rate.	Enter the target substance abuse rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual substance abuse rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 state and nati the percentag At the beging 19% The initiative At the end of the state of the state	 A community learned that the percentage of adults who abused opioids in their community was above the state and national average. The community launched a campaign to provide education in an effort to reduce the percentage of adults who abused opioids from 19% to 10% within 10 years. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of adults within the community who abused opioids was 19% The initiative target was to bring the rate of adults within the community who abused opioids down to 10% At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of adults within the community who abused opioids down to 10% 								
Definition, Notes	 The followi 1. Center 1 2. State Do 3. Substar 4. Communication Data should not already The same d determine for the same of the same o	ng sources may for Disease Cont epartment of He ice Abuse and M unity Commons d align with the g tracked for a sp ata source used the actual rates a		mine both baseli vices Administra argeted by the ir , a customized c ine rates at the b h reporting perio	ne (Column II) ar tion hitiative. In a situ lata set will need beginning of an ir od.	nd actual (Colum nation where bas I to be generated nitiative should a	eline data is 1. Iso be used to			

<u>CNPI 5n:</u> Percent decrease in domestic violence rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline domestic violence rate.	Enter the target domestic violence rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual domestic violence rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 Local law enforcement leaders expressed concern over increasing incidents of domestic violence in their community. Upon further research, the CAA learned that the rate of adults ages 18-64 experiencing domestic violence within the last 12 months had steadily increased over the last five years. The CAA, in partnership with law enforcement officials, health clinics, and local employers launched an initiative to increase public awareness, educate practitioners, and increase the availability of "safe spaces" for domestic violence victims. Their goal was to decrease the domestic violence rate from 18% to 8% over the next 10 years. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of adults age 18-64 experiencing domestic violence within the last 12 months was 18% The initiative target was to reduce this rate to 8% At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of adults ages 18-64 experiencing domestic violence within the last 12 months decreased to 15% 								
Definition, Notes	 U.S. Cer State Di Local de Local de Local Period Data should not already The same d determine to 	nsus Bureau epartment of He omestic violence blice Departmen d align with the g tracked for a sp ata source used the actual rates a	alth shelter ts eographic area t ecific community to identify basel at the end of eac	argeted by the ir ,, a customized c ine rates at the b h reporting perio	ne (Column II) ar hitiative. In a situ lata set will need beginning of an ir od. hs II and V in the	ation where bas to be generated itiative should a	eline data is 1. Iso be used to		

<u>CNPI 50:</u> Percent decrease in the child abuse rate in	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)				
the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline child abuse rate.	Enter the target child abuse rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual child abuse rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.				
	Initiative to provide the provident terms of	A community identified the need to address child abuse. The community implemented a <u>Safe Kids, Safe Street</u> <u>Initiative</u> to provide a continuum of services available to prevent and address child abuse in their community. Their goal was to reduce the rate of children experiencing abuse within the last 12 months by halffrom 4% to 2% over the next five years.									
Example	12 months The initiativ At the end 	 At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of children ages 0-18 experiencing abuse within the last 12 months was 4% The initiative target was to reduce this rate to 2% over the next five years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of children ages 0-18 experiencing abuse within the last 12 months decreased to 3.2% 									
Definition, Notes	 Child abuse¹ is any recent act or failure to act on the part of a parent or caretaker, which results in death, serious physical or emotional harm, sexual abuse or exploitation; or an act or failure to act which presents an imminent risk of serious harm. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: <u>Center for Disease Control</u> State Department of Health/Human Services <u>Kids Count</u> Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 										

¹ https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubPDFs/define.pdf

<u>CNPI 5p:</u> Percent decrease in the child neglect rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline child neglect rate.	Enter the target child neglect rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual child neglect rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 health depart work with chi adults and chi At the begi last 12 mor The initiativ At the end 	 After learning about increased incidents of child neglect, the CAA worked with the local justice department, health department and victims' assistance office to bolster education and outreach to 1) practitioners who work with children and 2) grade school classrooms. The initiative sought to raise awareness by teaching both adults and children to recognize signs and "speak up" when they believe a child or friend is a victim of neglect. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of children ages 0-18 experiencing neglect within the last 12 months was 7% The initiative target was to reduce this rate to 3% over the next five years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of children ages 0-18 experiencing neglect within the last 12 months decreased to 6.1% 								
Definition, Notes	 provide a cl to meet the The followi 1. <u>Center</u> 2. State I 3. Local F 4. <u>Kids Co</u> Data should not already The same d determine for 	hild with adequa e child's physical ng sources may l <u>c for Disease Cor</u> Department of H Police Departme <u>ount</u> d align with the <u>g</u> tracked for a sp ata source used the actual rates a	ealth/Human Se	upervision, cloth al, educational a mine both baseli rvices argeted by the ir , a customized c ine rates at the b h reporting perio	ing, nutrition, or nd safety needs. ne (Column II) ar nitiative. In a situ lata set will need peginning of an ir od.	housing, as well nd actual (Colum ation where bas I to be generated nitiative should a	l as the failure n V) rates: eline data is d. lso be used to			

<u>CNPI 5q</u> : Percent decrease in the elder abuse rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline elder abuse rate.	Enter the target elder abuse rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual elder abuse rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	partners to ra senior centers and its partne network of vo (designed for resources). Th • At the begin was 5% • The initiativ • At the end	 After learning about increased incidents of elder abuse in their community, the CAA worked with various partners to raise awareness of elder abuse. This included education for adult children of elderly parents, senior centers, and churches regarding the signs of elder abuse and how to handle suspected cases. The CAA and its partners also conducted outreach to the elder community, which resulted in seniors forming their own network of volunteers who speak to various groups about elder abuse, and who staff an elder abuse hotline (designed for seniors who may be more comfortable talking to their peers about concerns or available resources). The partners in this initiative sought to reduce the rate of elders experiencing abuse by half. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of elders experiencing abuse within the last 12 months was 5% The initiative target was to reduce this rate to 2.5% over the next five years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of elders experiencing abuse within the last 12 months decreased to 4.3% 								
Definition, Notes	 Elder abuse is a single or repeated act, or lack of appropriate action, occurring within any relationship where there is an expectation of trust, that causes harm or distress to an older person. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: <u>National Center on Elder Abuse</u> <u>Center for Disease Control</u> State Department of Health/Human Services Local Police Departments Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 									

<u>CNPI 5r:</u> Percent decrease in the elder neglect rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline elder neglect rate.	Enter the target elder neglect rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual elder neglect rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 they visited w seniors would money intend faced social is senior centers Meals on Who signs of poter At the begi was 7% The initiativ At the end 	 While conducting home energy audits, CAA Weatherization staff noticed that several of the elderly clients they visited were not only cold, but faced other conditions that were unsafe or unhealthy. In some cases, seniors would disclose to CAA staff that their caregivers had not refilled their prescriptions, or had taken money intended to purchase groceries or pay bills. Because the community was largely rural, many seniors faced social isolation, making it difficult for others to intervene on their behalf. The CAA worked with local senior centers and churches to create a plan to combat social isolation by enrolling more households in the Meals on Wheels program, and setting up a "Senior Volunteer Corp," where volunteers trained to identify signs of potential elder neglect visited homebound or socially isolated seniors. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of elders experiencing neglect within the last 12 months was 7% The initiative target was to reduce this rate to 3.5% over the next five years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of elders experiencing neglect within the last 12 months decreased to 6% 							
Definition, Notes	 withholding the elderly The followi 1. Nation 2. Cent 3. State 4. Local Data should not already The same didetermine 	 <u>Center for Disease Control</u> State Department of Health/Human Services 							

<u>CNPI 55:</u> Percent decrease in the recidivism rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline recidivism rate.	Enter the target recidivism rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual recidivism rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 The CAA participated in a coordinated re-entry initiative designed to assure that ex-offenders had the resources necessary to meet their basic needs, as well as the wrap-around support necessary to help exoffenders succeed after being released from custody. The initiative also provided education and advocacy to local and state leaders regarding re-entry. For example, the re-entry initiative positively impacted statewide policy around disclosure of criminal history on employment applications. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline recidivism rate within the community was 23.8% The initiative target was to reduce this rate to 15% over the next five years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual recidivism rate decreased to 20% 								
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Federal Bureau of Investigation/Bureau of Justice Statistics State Department of Justice County Corrections Department Recidivism is directly related to criminal activity only. Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 								

CNPI 5t: Percent decrease in non- violent crime rate in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline non- violent crime rate.	Enter the target non-violent crime rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual non-violent crime rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 The police department informed community leaders (including the CAA) that particular neighborhoods in the county faced disproportionately higher rates of non-violent crime. Upon further research, the majority of these crimes were auto thefts and house burglaries during times when most individuals were at work or school, or during holiday weekends when families generally left town or visited others. So a new multipronged initiative was launched that 1) revitalized Neighborhood Watch programs in targeted neighborhoods, 2) encouraged local walking, running, and cycling groups to incorporate targeted neighborhoods in their routes, and 3) increased police patrols in targeted neighborhoods. The goal of the initiative is to reduce the rate of non-violent crimes within the community by half over the next five years. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline non-violent crime rate within the community was 9% The initiative target was to reduce this rate to 4.5% over the next five years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual non-violent crime rate decreased to 7% 									
Definition, Notes	 At the end of the current reporting period, the actual non-violent crime rate decreased to 7/8 Non-violent crimes are those crimes that do not involve the use of any force or injury to another person. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Federal Bureau of Investigation/Bureau of Justice Statistics State Department of Justice Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 									

<u>CNPI 5u:</u> Percent decrease in violent crime rate	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline violent crime rate.	Enter the target violent crime rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual violent crime rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	 The police department informed community leaders (including the CAA) that violent crime rates were slowly on the rise in their county. Further research revealed that 70% of these crimes were related to domestic violence, and that most were committed as the victim was trying to escape the abusive situation. Therefore, the CAA, partnering with the community, began an initiative to not only raise awareness of domestic violence, but also to increase the availability of safe spaces within the community for individuals wishing to leave an abusive situation. This included securing funding for a domestic violence hotline so that individuals could learn about resources available to them, and so they could create safety plans for themselves and their family. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline violent crime rate within the community was 4.5% The initiative target was to reduce this rate to 2% over the next five years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual violent crime rate decreased to 4% 									
Definition, Notes	 A violent crime or crime of violence is a crime in which an offender uses or threatens force upon a victim. The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Federal Bureau of Investigation/Bureau of Justice Statistics State Department of Justice Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 									

<u>CNPI 5v:</u> Percent decrease in teens involved with the juvenile court system in the identified community.	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate of teens involved with juvenile court system.	Enter the target rate of teens involved with juvenile court system.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate of teens involved in juvenile court system at end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 After learning that most of the teens involved with the juvenile court system had experienced child abuse at some point early in their lives, the community implemented an initiative to tackle child abuse and reach teens deemed "at-risk" for involvement with the juvenile court system. The initiative's goal was to reduce the percentage of teens involved with the juvenile court system from 6% to 3% over the next five years. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of teens involved in the juvenile justice system was 6%. The initiative target was to reduce this rate to 3% over the next five years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of teens involved in the juvenile justice system decreased to 4.8%. 								
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Department of Justice State Department of Justice Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 								

<u>CNPI 5z:</u> Other Rates of Change for Health and Social/Behavioral indicators. (Please specify.)	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the selected baseline rate as a percentage here.	Enter the selected target rate as a percentage here.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the selected actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.	
Definition, Notes	Entities should use CNPI 5z to report on Health and Social/Behavioral related initiatives where the outcome is different than any of the above indicators (and the selected outcome is a "rate of change").							

CNPI 6: Civic Engagement and Community Involvement Indicators

CNPI 6: Civic Engagement and Community Involvement Indicators

Goal 2: Communities where people with low incomes live are healthy and offer economic opportunity.

	<u>CNPI 6G2a</u>	Percent increase of donated time to support the CSBG Eligible Entity's delivery of services and/or implementation of strategies to address conditions of poverty in the identified community.
Rates of	<u>CNPI 6G2b</u>	Percent increase of donated resources to support the CSBG Eligible Entity's delivery of services and/or implementation of strategies to address conditions of poverty in the identified community.
Change	<u>CNPI 6G2c</u>	Percent increase of people participating in public hearings, policy forums, community planning, or other advisory boards related to the CSBG Eligible Entity's delivery of service and/or implementation of strategies to address conditions of poverty in the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 6G2z</u>	Other rates of change for civic engagement and community involvement indicators—Goal 2
Goal 3: Peo	ple with low in	comes are engaged and active in building opportunities in communities.
	<u>CNPI 6G3a</u>	Percent increase of people with low incomes who support the CSBG Eligible Entity's delivery of service and/or implementation of strategies to address conditions of poverty in the identified community.
Rates of Change	<u>CNPI 6G3b</u>	Percent increase of people with low incomes who acquire and maintain leadership roles with the CSBG Eligible Entity or other organizations within the identified community.
	<u>CNPI 6G3z</u>	Other rates of change for civic engagement and community involvement indicators—Goal 3

Go Back to Table of Contents

Civic Engagement and Community Involvement Indicator Instructions (Goal 2)

Examples, Definitions, and Notes

CNPI 6G2a: Percent increase of donated time	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
to support the CSBG Eligible Entity's delivery of services and/or implementation of strategies to address conditions of poverty in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline volunteer rate.	Enter the target volunteer rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual volunteer rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	A CAA administered a survey to their existing volunteers, as well as potential volunteers identified through the local United Way. Survey respondents identified the largest barriers to volunteering as 1) transportation, 2) lack of evening or weekend volunteer opportunities, 3) no clear place to learn about volunteer opportunities, and 4) no place to use their unique talents and professional skills (e.g., technology, fine arts, accounting, cooking, etc.). The CAA began a new volunteer initiative, setting up a "For Volunteers" page on their CAA website that allowed community members to submit volunteer applications, sign up for volunteer training, and, with approval, sign up for volunteer tasks/activities. Tasks on the volunteer page were expanded to include help with web design, data mining, design of marketing materials, donor database setup assistance, strategic planning, etc. The CAA also actively created volunteer opportunities within neighborhoods across their service area; this included opportunities tasks for those with limited transportation or physical mobility that could be done in the home. The goal is to double the percentage of volunteers who donate more than 10 hours of time per year was 20% The initiative target was to increase this rate to 40% over the next three years.									
Definition, Notes	 At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of volunteers who donated more than 10 hours of time per year increased to 26.5% The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Internal CAA Volunteer Database Local or state volunteer organization database Corporation for National Community Service Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 									

CNPI 6G2b: Percent increase of donated	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)			
resources to support the CSBG Eligible Entity's delivery of services and/or implementation of strategies to address conditions of poverty in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline donation rate.	Enter the target donation rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual donation rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.			
Example	program. Whi and provide fu a newly devel to reporting o portion of the pay a family's etc.). Their go • At the begi • The initiativ	 A CAA worked with local banks to apply for USDA Rural Development funds and began a self-help housing program. While implementing this program, the CAA learned that donors were more willing to step forward and provide funding/resources if they could quantify or see tangible results (in this case, a family moving into a newly developed home). The CAA began a campaign to shift some of their emphasis from donor recognition to reporting on the tangible results that were made possible with donations. They also began reframing a portion of their donation requests to address specific expenses (e.g., donors could "purchase" a staff position, pay a family's rent or mortgage for a month, match a student's savings investments, pay for a security deposit, etc.). Their goal was to increase the percentage of donors who give more than \$50 over a one-year period. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of donors giving above \$50 per year was 40% The initiative target was to increase this rate to 60% over the next three years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of donors giving above \$50 per year remained at 40% 								
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Internal CAA Donor Database Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 									

CNPI 6G2c: Percent increase	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
of people participating in public hearings, policy forums, community planning, or other advisory boards related to the CSBG Eligible Entity's delivery of service and/or implementation of strategies to address conditions of poverty in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline participation rate.	Enter the target participation rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual participation rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 The CAA recognized that the need to engage a larger, more diverse set of community members in their advisory and planning process. Therefore, they began an initiative that started with listening sessions around their service area (this included working with prominent local leaders in each area to help market and advertise the sessions). They also looked for ways to engage different groups in the design and planning of their service delivery models. For example, when developing a program to help households with people who had low incomes overcome housing barriers, they surveyed and engaged landlords to identify what should be included in the curriculum. The CAA sought to increase the percentage of community residents who engaged in advisory and planning processes. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of community members participating in CAA hearings, forums, planning or other advisory boards was .67% (300 participants/45,000 total residents=.0067) The initiative target was to bring this rate up to 2% over the next three years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of community members participating in CAA hearings, forums, planning or other advisory boards increased to 1% (450 participants/45,000 total residents=.01) 								
Definition, Notes	 The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Internal volunteer databases Attendance, sign-in sheets for forums, meetings, hearings, etc. Corporation for National Community Service Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 								

CNPI 6G22: Other Rates of Change for Civic Engagement and Community Involvement Indicators. (Please specify.)	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate.	Enter the target rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Definition, Notes		Entities should use CNPI 6G2z to report on Goal 2 related initiatives where the outcome is different than any of the above indicators (and the selected outcome is a "rate of change").							

Civic Engagement and Community Involvement Indicator Instructions (Goal 3)

Examples, Definitions, and Notes

CNPI 6G3a:	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
Percent increase of people with low incomes who support the CSBG Eligible Entity's delivery of service and/or implementation of strategies to address conditions of poverty in the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline volunteer rate.	Enter the target volunteer rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual volunteer rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	Current and past program participants with low incomes are regularly encouraged to engage in volunteer activities in an effort to build social capital (networks) and learn new skills. However, many individuals in households with low incomes indicated challenges in taking off from work to volunteer during the week. They also noted that on the weekends, they wanted to be able to spend time with their families. In response, the CAA created more volunteer opportunities that 1) didn't demand large amounts of time, and 2) included children (so families could volunteer together). The CAA also worked with local employers to provide their employees (including individuals with low incomes) with two hours of paid time off per month to volunteer. The goal of this initiative was to increase the percentage of volunteers who are low income. • At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of volunteers with low incomes was 6.7% (100 <i>volunteers with low incomes/1500 total volunteers=.067</i>) • The initiative target was to increase this rate to 15% over the next three years. • At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of volunteers with low incomes increased to 40.7% (160 understanding the function of the actual rate of volunteers with low incomes increased to								
Definition, Notes	 10.7% (160 volunteers with low incomes /1500 total volunteers=.107) The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Internal CAA Volunteer Database Local or state volunteer organization database Corporation for National Community Service Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 								

CNPI 6G3b: Percent increase of people with low	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)		
incomes who acquire and maintain leadership roles with the CSBG Eligible Entity or other organizations within the identified community.	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline leadership rate.	Enter the target leadership rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual leadership rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.		
Example	 Officials in the community noticed a decline in the rate of people with low incomes who participated in leadership roles in their community. With help from the CAA, local employers, and the local community collegethe community implemented a leadership program for individuals with low incomes. The program helped participants to learn ways they could lead within the community, provided them with leadership skills training, and assisted them with building critical networks (both bridging and bonding social capital). Local employers provided participants with paid time off to attend weekly sessions, if applicable. Individuals who completed the program (as well as their employers) were recognized in a public ceremony. Completing the course also provide participants with college/continuing education credits, and graduates were asked to come back and teach or participate in future courses. At the beginning of the initiative, the baseline rate of individuals with low incomes within community leadership roles =.083). The initiative target was to increase this rate to 15% over the next three years. At the end of the current reporting period, the actual rate of individuals with low incomes in leadership roles /300 total residents in leadership roles increased to 13.3% (40 residents with low incomes in leadership roles /300 total residents in leadership roles increased to 13.3% (40 residents with low incomes in leadership roles /300 total residents in leadership 								
Definition, Notes	 roles=.133). The following sources may be used to determine both baseline (Column II) and actual (Column V) rates: Internal volunteer database Local or state volunteer organization database Corporation for National Community Service Data should align with the geographic area targeted by the initiative. In a situation where baseline data is not already tracked for a specific community, a customized data set will need to be generated. The same data source used to identify baseline rates at the beginning of an initiative should also be used to determine the actual rates at the end of each reporting period. Entities should record the data source used to report Columns II and V in the "General Comments Section." 								

CNPI 6G3z: Other Rates of Change for Civic Engagement and Community Involvement Indicators. (Please specify.)	I.) Identified Community (auto- populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (%)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
	This will be auto- populated from Section I.	Enter the baseline rate.	Enter the target rate.	This field will be auto- calculated.	Enter the actual rate at the end of the current reporting period.	This field will be auto- calculated.	This field will be auto- calculated.
Definition, Notes	Entities should use CNPI 6G3z to report on Goal 3 related initiatives where the outcome is different than any of the above indicators (and the selected outcome is a "rate of change").						